

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/776,694 | NGO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | DIMPLE N. BODAWALA | 1791 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DIMPLE N. BODAWALA. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas Schneck (Atty). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2009

Time: 1:00 EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Received the authorization to amend the specification and drawings to label as --figures 1 to figures 9-- instead of "scheme 1 to scheme 9".

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. N. B./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)